## **CASEWORK - TRUSTS**

- Caldwell vs. Hill, 176 SE 383 (1934)
   U.S. adopted Common laws of England with the Constitution.
- 2. Article I, Section 10, U.S. Constitution (1787)
  Protects the sacred right of obligation of contract.
- Article XIV, Bill of Rights
   Protects the sacred right to own property.
- 4. 16 AM JUR 2d, Section 365
  Property, anything of exchangeable value.
- 5. Eliot vs. Freeman, 220 U.S. 178 (1911)
  A trust is not dependent on statutory law.
- 6. Burnett vs. Smith, 240 SE 1007 (1922)
  A trust is a legal entity.
- 7. Schuman-Heink vs. Folsom, 159 NE 250 (1927)
  If it is free of control by Certificate Holders, then it is a Pure Trust.
- 8. Berry vs. McCourt, 204 NE 2d 235 (1965)
  A Pure Trust is a contractual relationship in Trust form.
- 9. Crocker vs. MacCloy, 649 US Supp 39 at 270
  A Pure Trust is not subject to legislative control.
- 10. Goldwater vs. Oltman, 292 P. 624 (1930)A business trust is lawful wherever contracts are lawful.
- Morrisey vs. Commissioner, 296 U.S. 344 (1935)
   A trust is taxable as an association if a corporate structure is maintained.
- 12. Johnson vs. Lewis, 6 F. 27 (1881)
  Trustees are legal owners of property in trust (fiduciary).
- 13. Bisbee vs. Mackey, 102 NE 327 (1913) Succeeding trustees do take title.
- 14. Commissioner vs. Marshman, 279 F.2d 27 (1960)
  Fair Market Value determined by property received by taxpayer and not the FMV of property transferred by taxpayer to the trust.
- Burnet vs. Logan, 283 U.S. 404 (1931)
   Certificates in exchange are not taxable until a realized gain has occurred.
- 16. Old Kent Bank and Trust vs. U.S., 430 F.2d 392 (1970)Estate tax is an excise tax on the transfer of property at death.